Cryptanalysis of Magenta

Eli Biham, Alex Biryukov, Niels Ferguson, Lars R. Knudsen, Bruce Schneier, Adi Shamir

August 20, 1998

Magenta is an encryption algorithm submitted for AES by Deutsche Telekom AG, and presented by Michael Jacobson, Jr. at August 20, 1998. Unlike all other candidates, this cipher was made available to the conference attendees only on the day of presentation. In this note we cryptanalyze Magenta, and any algorithm of the same structure and key schedule.

We refer the reader to the figure on slide 7 of the Magenta presentation (given in the handouts at the first AES candidate conference). This figure describes the block structure and key schedule for 128-bit keys. Magenta is a Feistel cipher with 6 rounds, in which the key is divided into two halves, called K_1 and K_2, and these halves are used in the following way: K_1 is used in rounds 1,2,5,6, and K_2 is used in rounds 3 and 4. We use the following notation for the intermediate values during encryption: X_0 is the plaintext, X_1 is the data after one round, X_i is the data after i rounds, and X_6 is the ciphertext. The data X_i is divided into two halves: X_i^T is the top half of the data, and X_i^B is the bottom half.

We first present a chosen plaintext attack using 2^{64} chosen plaintexts and requiring 2^{64} steps of analysis.

1. Choose an arbitrary plaintext X_0.
2. Request the ciphertext X_6 of X_0 under the unknown key K.
3. Try all 2^{64} possible values K_1', and for each compute the following:
   (a) Partially encrypt X_0 for the first two rounds to get a candidate for X_2.
   (b) Choose an arbitrary X_2' such that ${X_2'}^T = X_2^T$.
   (c) Partially decrypt X_2' under the trial subkey K_1' to get X_0'.
   (d) Request the ciphertext X_6' of X_0' under the unknown key K.
   (e) Partially decrypt X_6 and X_6' under the trial subkey K_1' to get X_4 and X_4'.
   (f) From X_2 and X_4 compute the output of the E function of round 3, and similarly for X_2' and X_4'.
   (g) Reject the trial subkey K_1' if the outputs are different.
4. Make a list of all the keys that passed the equality test.

The correct key must be on the list, since equality of the two inputs of the E function must cause the output to be equal as well. It is expected that the list contains only a few candidates, and the wrong candidates can be easily discarded using one additional trial encryption.

This attack can be converted into a known plaintext attack using only 2^{33} known plaintexts but 2^{97} steps of analysis. In this attack the attacker receives

the 2^{33} known plaintexts and their corresponding ciphertexts and searches for collisions of X_2^T. The attack is as follows:

1. Try all the 2^{64} possible values K_1' of K_1, and for each compute the following:
   (a) Partially encrypt all 2^{33} X_0's for the first two rounds to get candidates for X_2.
   (b) Search for collisions of X_2^T in the received results.
   (c) Partially decrypt, for the last two rounds, the pairs of ciphertexts X_6 of the colliding X_2^T to get candidates for X_4.
   (d) From the X_2's and X_4's compute the output of the E function of round 3.
   (e) Reject the trial subkey K_1' if the outputs are different.
2. make a list of all the keys that passed the equality test.

It is interesting to note that the same attack applies to the larger key sizes with the same reduction in complexity over brute force. We guess all the subkeys except for the subkey used in the middle two rounds, and perform the same key recovery attack. A 192-bit key can be found using 2^{128} chosen plaintexts within 2^{128} steps, or using 2^{33} known plaintexts within 2^{161} steps. A 256-bit key can be found using 2^{128} chosen plaintexts within 2^{192} steps, or using 2^{33} known plaintexts within 2^{225} steps.

We would also wish to note that due to the symmetry of the key scheduling, encryption and decryption are identical except for the order of the two halves of the plaintexts and ciphertexts. Therefore, given a ciphertext, one can decrypt it by swapping its two halves, reencrypting the result, and swapping again. Note that this attack uses an adaptive access to an encryption device and cannot be used to recover the key. Such a property is undesirable in various scenarios.

We are grateful to Michael Jacobson, Jr. for his lucid presentation of the Magenta algorithm and for patiently answering our questions regarding cryptanalysis.